UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,823	05/15/2007	Armin Schneider	4266-0126PUS1	2434
2292 7590 08/06/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747		PITRAK, JENNIFER S		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1635	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/589,823	SCHNEIDER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		JENNIFER PITRAK	1635			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in an analysis of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u>	av 2009				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 17,21 and 30 is/are pending in the ap	olication.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,21 and 30</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers	•				
		,				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		Evaminar			
10)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 05/01/2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

Applicant's amendments and arguments filed 05/01/2009 have been entered and considered. Claims 1-16, 18-20, 22-29, and 31 are canceled. Claims 17, 21, and 30 are under examination. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Specification - objections withdrawn

The amendments to the specification have obviated the objections. Therefore, the objections are withdrawn.

Claim Objections - Withdrawn

The amendments to the claims have obviated the objection to claim 30. Therefore the objection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 and 101 - Withdrawn

The amendments to the claims have obviated the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and 35 U.S.C. § 101. Therefore, the rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 - Withdrawn

The amendments to the claims have obviated the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Therefore, the rejection is withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,823 Page 3

Art Unit: 1635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 - Maintained

Claims 17, 21, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by

Wiley (2002, U.S. PGPub 2002/0041876, of record). This rejection is maintained for the reasons

of record.

Response to arguments

Applicant argues that because Wiley lists compounds for modulating TWEAKR or

TWEAK to treat a plethora of disease states, Wiley does not anticipate the instant claims. Case

law has been cited in support of Applicant's position; however, no explanation of how the facts

in the cited cases relate to the instant case has been presented. Applicant's arguments are not

persuasive because, as cited in the rejection, Wiley teaches methods of treating and preventing

ischemia of the brain (stroke) by administering an antisense nucleic acid target to TWEAK and

that such nucleic acids are typically administered with pharmaceutically acceptable carriers and

can be delivered with retroviral and adenoviral vectors. Therefore, Wiley anticipates the instant

claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 - Maintained

Claims 17, 21, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Wiley, and further in view of Bass (2001, Nature, v.411:428-9) and Elbashir, et al. (2001,

Nature, v.411:494-8). This rejection is maintained for the reasons of record.

Response to arguments

Page 4

Applicant argues that Bass fails to rectify the deficiencies of Wiley, but Applicant does not indicate how or why Bass fails to rectify the deficiencies of Wiley. Applicant also argues that the cited references do not provide a reasonable expectation of success. This is not persuasive because Wiley clearly anticipates the instant claims and Bass is relied upon to teach that siRNAs are more robust than antisense nucleic acids for target inhibition and, therefore, one of skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success in using siRNAs in place of the antisense nucleic acids taught by Wiley.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,823 Page 5

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENNIFER PITRAK whose telephone number is (571)270-3061. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30AM-5:00PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James (Doug) Schultz can be reached on 571-272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer Pitrak Examiner Art Unit 1635

/Sean R McGarry/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635